



Foxhall Community Association
Board Meeting
August 5, 2021 7:00 p.m.
ZOOM Meeting

Board Members Present:

Elaine Vaughn, Member at Large/Facilities
Greg Darnell, Treasurer
John McKinnon, Secretary
Robert Jackson, President
Andrew Kolibas, Vice President

Foxhall Members in Attendance:

Donna Bosshard
Theresa Lowe

Call to Order

Bob called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.

Review/Approve Minutes

Motion: Approve minutes, of FCA Board Meeting dated July 8, 2021, as revised in discussion. Moved by Andrew, seconded by Greg, Discussion: Regarding Picnic Shelter revise statement regarding proposal to read: "The hope is that we get to \$12,000 in donations, the Association will pick up the rest." Voting result: motion carried unanimously.

Architectural Control Committee Update (Andrew reports)

- Trail fencing safety measures: The ACC feels that their responsibility for fencing oversight is limited to fences fronting on Foxhall roadways. However, the ACC proposes the purchase of t-post caps, at the expense of the Foxhall Association, to install on unprotected t-posts along the Foxhall trails. Andrew will look into the cost of this proposal.
- Update on the property with an occupied trailer: the ACC has sent a letter informing the owners of the noncompliance with our covenants. The next step, if necessary, will be forwarded to the Board for action. Proposed action may involve engaging an attorney to advise the Board and/or initiate actions.

Other Business

Trespassing

- Hollywood outreach: Nothing to report. Table this topic until a later Board meeting.

Picnic planning status (Andrew)

- Saturday August 28th from 11:00 to 1:00.
- Greg is asked to post the event on Facebook, someone will post signs at the entrances, it will be mentioned in next week's letter
- The Foxhall Association will provide hot dogs and hamburgers. Side dishes will be pot luck as provided by those attending.

Financial Update (Greg)

- Picnic shelter update: \$9000 by 30 donors, the ground work including the concrete slab, footing, sidewalk and drainage system will be completed by the end of the year. There is some hope that lumber prices may drop by the time construction resumes.
- Current balances/expenditures: July balances were in proposal, Greg estimates that the expenditures for the full year will be approximately \$29,293. The full extent of surplus from this year's budget will not be known until at least October. Currently we expect surpluses to come from insurance contingency, snow plowing, reduced mowing costs, and legal fees. Greg approximates that we will be \$5,400 below adopted budget in the administrative and operating portion. The common area costs may be \$1800 below. Total of savings over last adopted budget may be about \$7200 (see full year estimates in adopted budget).

Budget Proposal

- Discussion of the need for a dues increase at this time.
- Discussion of the process for raising dues: Foxhall bylaws specify a limit of \$300 on assessments, but new laws supersede our bylaws when in conflict with State Regulations. The conflict is that dues assessments are approved through the budget ratification process, regardless of amounts specified in the bylaws.

Discussion of whether the Board should adopt a practice, required or not, of holding membership votes to approve new expenditures and assessments prior to drafting a budget proposal.

Discussion of whether the budget proposal distributed to membership provided enough time for feedback, and had enough emphasis on the inclusion of a dues increase.

- *Motion to present a budget without a dues increase, revising down the costs in parks and maintenance representing taking out the weed spraying and reseedling. Moved by: Andrew, seconded by Elaine; Discussion: John mentioned that there are a number of residents being in favor of expenditures on parks. Reviewed all member feedback as compiled (see attached compilation of feedback). Vote: Motion passes three votes to two. Ayes: Bob, Andrew, Elaine; Nays: John and Greg*
- Proposal to have a meeting at some time after ratification to discuss the reserve study, reserve fund regulations and possible need for future assessments or dues increases.
- Greg would like minutes to reflect that the majority of members who submitted comments showed support for the budget proposal in the mailing.
- Elaine feels the community needs to understand the costs of improvements and maintenance.
- Bob advocates for communicating with the membership regarding budget requirements, elements/amenities desired by the community, a need to increase the number of volunteers, and of the rules of our association.
- Discussion of the desire to conduct future membership meetings in person.

Budget Ratification Process

- Budget Meeting
 - Agenda: minutes, budget vote and discussion,
 - Voting procedure, 7:00 time, location at park 1, weather permitting, otherwise zoom
- Date of mailing August 11th

- Contents of mailing
 - Agenda
 - Adopted Budget
 - Cover Letter with information/rationale for significant elements, some assurance of following up on dues discussion, survey, additional votes after the budget meeting
 - Ballot and voting instructions

New Business

None.

Upcoming Meetings

August 27th Budget Ratification Meeting

Adjourn

Motion: Adjourn meeting at 9:18

Moved by: Andrew; Seconded by: Elaine Discussion: None

Result: motion carried unanimously

Questions from Membership

Minutes submitted by John McKinnon, August 15, 2021.

Approved August 16th, 2021

FY 2019-2020	FY 2019-2020		FY 2020-2021			FY 2021-2022
Budget	Actuals	BUDGET CATEGORIES	Adopted	Actuals	Full Year	ADOPTED
	9/30/2020		9/21/2020	as of 8/4/2021	Estimate	8/5/2021
		ADMINISTRATIVE AND OPERATING				
750	739	County & Federal taxes	750	754	754	800
30	17	State Licenses and Fees	30	18	18	30
100	96	Miscellaneous (ballots, bank charge, etc)	100	83	83	100
225	192	Postal Mailing Box rent	250	192	192	220
2,000	2,000	Treasurer Expenses	500	243	350	500
150	109	Admin. Supplies	150	83	140	150
1000	506	Mailings	800	158	400	800
204	204	Web Site & Zoom	507	290	290	507
579	279	Picnic	350			350
	1,587	Insurance - General Liability	1,660	1,344	1,344	1,500
	1,641	Insurance - Directors & Officers	1,600	1,641	1,641	1,700
	0	Insurance - D&O lawsuit contingency	3,000	0	0	0
	0	Insurance - Umbrella Policy	1,200	1,212	1,212	1,300
8,000	3,228	<Insurance Sum/Subtotals>	7,460	4,197	4,197	4,500
2,500	2,000	Legal and Professional Fees	3,000	500	2,000	2,500
15,538	9,370	<<Subtotal, Operating Expenses>>	13,897	6,518	8,424	10,457
		REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE OF COMMON AREAS				
5,000	3,780	Park 1 Mowing & Maintenance	5,000	2,468	4,468	5,100
700	1,497	Park 2 Mowing & Maintenance	1,200	649	1,049	1,300
2,000	2,123	Retention pond Mowing & Maintenance	2,400	1,080	2,280	2,600
2,350	1,214	Trail Mowing	2,350	1,684	2,484	2,600
3,640	3,634	Trail Safety & Maintenance	2,420	416	2,400	2,500
1,056	907	Main Entrance Water & Maintenance	1,000	511	600	1,000
2,000	0	Contingency	0			0
750	0	Winter Snow Plow Roads	750	20	20	750
17,496	13,155	<<Subtotal, Repairs/Maintenance>>	15,120	6,828	13,301	15,850
		IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS				
		Picnic Shelter	3,000	2,955	2,955	3,000
500	0	Park 1 Project	1,000	1,113	1,113	0
500	0	<<Subtotal, Improvements>>	4,000	4,068	4,068	3,000
		RESERVE FUND				
		Replenishment of Reserve Fund	3,500	3,500	3,500	7,500
\$33,534	\$22,525	TOTAL EXPENSES	\$36,517	\$20,914	\$29,293	\$36,807

Response number **What feedback (positive or negative), concerns, questions or recommendations would you give to the Board regarding the 2021-2022 budget now being prepared?**

Notes:

- comments in red represent objections to or questions about to the budget or budget items;
- comments in purple represent suggestions for future projects or spending;
- comments were compiled from 15 on-line submissions and 4 emails;
- responses were numbered for the sake of having a quick reference during discussion;
- responses 19 to 27 are in reference to the second question of the on-line form;
- the secretary did minor editing and removed the names of individuals when compiling this list.

1 I approve of the submitted budget. I think it's important that the community parks and front entrance are maintained and mowed regularly.... I am glad to see those line items not reduced. I am also thrilled to see the \$3,000 line item for the picnic shelter. It would be nice if it was actually more so it could be finished in a timely manner. It is clear to me that our community sees this as an important upgrade to our neighborhood. 29 residents have contributed out of their own pockets for a total of \$8,551. That is incredible! The picnic shelter should be considered a high priority. It should be funded and completed as soon as possible so our community can enjoy and use something that is needed and wanted by our residents.

2 We positively support the budget as proposed. Only \$2.50 per month dues increase is a bargain, and it's been several years since our dues were last increased in 2018. Please recognize this low cost is largely thanks to significant donations of resident volunteer hours, expertise, fuel, equipment and materials - as well as significant cash donations for the picnic shelter.

3 How wonderful to be able to use the park even when it's raining! Also, shade on these really hot days, bonus! So excited for this new development!

4 I strongly support the \$3000 per year for the next 3 years to fund the remaining cost to complete the picnic shelter in park 1. This will be wonderful asset for all the residents to use for years to come. Thank you to all those that donated money to this wonderful addition to our community.

5 I support the budget as proposed. I appreciate that it continues to invest in maintaining our trails and improving our public park spaces. The trail maintenance budget continues to allow volunteers to have the resources to fix weather related damage to the trails and keep them safe and usable. It is a good and important investment.

Although I am not a frequent park user, I think it is smart for our community to take advantage of the current volunteer enthusiasm to build a picnic shelter for only the cost of the materials. It provides value added for our community and is a project that we could not afford if not for the generous donation of labor and equipment use by neighborhood volunteers. It is wise to help support the momentum of this project.

6 I definitely support allocation of funds for the park pavilion project. I this is something that so obviously encourages use of the park and enhances our neighborhood in general. With more and more young families moving in, the park can and will become a focal point of the neighborhood.

7 We are new, so we are learning about Foxhall. It looks complete and well-proportioned for the various responsibilities and tasks. We do not have any specific comments.

8 The proposed budget looks great! Really appreciate the thought and foresight taken into consideration to ensure our community remains as pristine as it is today! Thank you to the Board for doing such a great job!

9 We concur with FY 2021-2022 budget dated 7/15/2021. The proposed increase in dues to \$330 is very acceptable. It's great to see that funding is planned for the picnic shelter - that'll be a great addition to the neighborhood. Foxhall trails are amazing - keep on funding and if necessary, increase the funding by increasing dues.

10 I love the idea of a picnic shelter and hope everyone feels they can contribute even a little bit. Thank you again to the trails committee. The trails are beautiful, phenomenal and are continually being improved. They have been a lifesaver during Covid. Also love the new entrance signs. Foxhall rocks!

11

We have felt that the planned park shelter is a waste of money. We don't think it will be used that much and will be a target for vandalism.

New playground equipment would've been a much better use of funds as the existing playground equipment is very old. The development is slowly getting more young families and they would use the playground equipment.

12

The budget put forth looks reasonable to us. We are grateful for all the volunteer hours of so many that have kept costs lower to maintain our shared spaces. We look forward to the improvements to the park and believe the shelter will be a wonderful addition.

13

(Name withheld from public view) and I fully support proposed budget and think the picnic shelter is an awesome idea and would be willing to lend a hand during construction. Can you please forward our comment to appropriate board member? Thanks!

14

We've looked over the draft budget. **If we are spending half the amount on "actuals", why is the "draft proposal" so much?** We are supporting the new picnic shelter as we feel it will be an asset to the neighborhood. Thank you to you, the other board members and ALL the volunteers who make our community a great place to live.

15

Regarding Foxhall Park 1: It's good to see children playing in the park. Youth soccer practices were a welcome site. Thank you for bringing the porta potty back. A park needs a potty. Thank you for building the picnic shelter. Living here for more than 20 years, a picnic shelter was the most requested item on those annual surveys from year to year. It's hard to do a multiyear project like this. Thank you for the work done on the front entrance. We recognize and appreciate the landscaping, drip irrigation system, paint on signs, lights. The front entrance has never looked better. It's the first thing people see when driving in. It needs to shine, as it does now. Unless you plan and contract for the front entrance maintenance, it will fail.

Please contract annual maintenance of the front entrance. Thank you for painting Park 1's sign.

We would like to see Park 1's grass restored. Weeds have overrun the park, which flower into yellow dandelion like flowers. Bees love the weedy flowers. Park 1 is overrun by bees in July -September. We've seen kids crying from getting stung by the bees. Restoring the grass would help reduce, not eliminate the bee population

We would like to see Park 1's play equipment updated to something more current.

Regarding funding the reserve fund: Your budget document said Foxhall is required to fund reserves in accordance with RCW 64.90. **That statement is not accurate. Foxhall is required to follow RCW 64.90 for budgets and special assessments.** There are some disclosures required comparing Foxhall actual practices with RCW 64.90. Why does it matter? RCW 64.38 provides an exception to fully funding a reserve fund, and gives broad discretion to boards in deciding what level of funding to adopt. Prior board of directors recognized fully funding reserves would result in many members experiencing a financial hardship. **I believe that is still the case, that fully funding reserves will be a financial hardship for us and many of my neighbors Division I. In the past \$40k was determined to be the reserve amount.** That seems about right, given the fact that special assessments are so easy to do. If an expenditure came up that was more than the \$40k in reserves, you can easily do a special assessment to bring in the cash needed. **Here are the disclosures required: (d) The current amount of regular assessments budgeted for contribution to the reserve account; (e) A statement of whether the association has a reserve study that meets the requirements of RCW 64.90.550 and, if so, the extent to which the budget meets or deviates from the recommendations of that reserve study; and (f) The current deficiency or surplus in reserve funding expressed on a per unit basis.**

Regarding Meeting Notices: There are new laws adopted, effective July 2021. Google RCW 64.38.035 and you'll find the chapter. Underneath the chapter title in all caps it says ***** CHANGE IN 2021 *** (SEE 5011-S.SL) *****

Regarding Trail Maintenance: The Canyon Trail - Situation Recapture Trails are specifically defined in Foxhall Covenants - Article 1 Area of Application, second paragraph. 'Tracks A in Foxhall, Division I and Tracts H and I in Division I and Division II shall be for the benefit of, and used by, the residents in Foxhall' . . .etc. The original Canyon Trail - the one in the covenants - is behind current property owners (names withheld from public view) in Division II on 42nd Ct NE... The original trail is at the top of the coulee and parallels the retention pond outflow bed. A coulee is a deep gulch or ravine with sloping sides. Years of winter storms and spring floods left the trail unusable. For more than 25 years this trail was not open to members. About 15 years ago, a Foxhall Board of Directors a sign was put up sign saying Trail Closed. In 2017 it was decided to work on the trail in the coulee. But not the original trail at the top of the coulee. It was decided to put a new trail in at the bottom of the coulee. In order to put the new trail in at the bottom of the coulee, easements were obtained from property owners (names withheld from public view). We object to the increased infrastructure costs associated with this new trail. You have a combination of steep slopes, water and gravity. **This year the increased costs are**

15 (cont.)

\$600 for erosion and the cost of a contractor to weed whack slopes. These infrastructure costs will get bigger and bigger. You can't outsmart or outspend Mother Nature. The forces of water, steep slopes and gravity will always win. You will never stop erosion in the fish stream and coulee. **We should spend money for environmental damage remediation and that's all. Don't weed wack the nettles!** Nettles feed the butterflies! The new trail at the bottom of the coulee should have had prior approval of 98 members. Since that didn't happen, the easements should be nullified, the trail should be closed. Please look at clause 10 in those easement documents. They read: 10. Covenants Running With Land. This grant of easement and its terms and conditions shall be covenants running with the land. Easements were recorded at Thurston County prior to discussing at Foxhall board of director meetings. Payments for costs to obtain the easements for surveying and attorney's fees were paid with dark money - off book transactions. Foxhall Covenants Article II, Covenants Respecting Use, A. Land Use and Building Type: All lots in Foxhall Division I, numbered 1 through 33 and Foxhall, Division II, numbered 34 through 81, shall be for residential purposes only. Putting a trail through the (names withheld) property changed the use of that property from residential to community property recreational. The change in property use requires a covenant change. Foxhall Covenants Article IV. Modification Of Covenants: These covenants may be modified by an instrument in writing, signed by the owners of more than 80% of the lots included in Foxhall Division I and Foxhall, Division II and duly recorded. Foxhall lots, all divisions = 122 x 80.1% = 98 rounded up. 98 members are required for a covenant change. It has been suggested by former board members to put trails on any property that doesn't have a trail adjacent to it. It's been suggested by former board members to put trails on dead end streets 43rd Ave NE, 44th Ave NE, and Arrow Ct. Please recognize putting new trails in require a covenant change. It's been suggested by former or current board members that easements may be acquired by "eminent domain ". This is false and these bullying techniques have no place in our community. This area, The Canyon Coulee, should be protected from environmental damage. The trail parallels a stream a few feet away. This is not just any stream. It has been mapped by Forest Practices Activity Map from the WA State Dept. of Natural Resources shows the stream behind the retention pond as a Fish Type Stream. According to the WA State Department of Natural Resources, Forest Practices, Type F Fish Streams are streams and waterbodies that are known to be used by fish, or meet the physical criteria to be potentially used by fish. Fish streams may or may not have flowing water all year; they may be perennial or seasonal. According to Department of Fish and Wildlife staff, anytime a bridge is built over an F Type stream, a Hydraulic Project Approval permit is required. No such permit was obtained. A DNR forester who walked this trail and commented on the gravel that had been put into the stream bed and that the gravel is detrimental to F Type Streams and should be removed. Equestrian fecal matter is dropped on the trail. The Fish Stream is just a few feet away. Equestrian fecal matter goes into the Fish Type Stream. We should be protecting the environment, not polluting Puget Sound. So many trees have been cut down. Please recognize trees hold slopes in place. When trees are removed it undermines slope stability. Roots aren't holding down the slopes. The slopes will move. The Fish Stream is dry in summer month and a fast moving stream in winter months. How will you feel if a child drowns in that stream? This information about the Fish Stream designation by WA State, the permit required for bridge work over a Fish Stream, environmental impacts - none of this was known or discussed at board meetings. This is new information for you, this current board. The part about the illegal easements - that's new information to you also. You have choices. **You don't have to maintain that trail at the bottom of the coulee. In our opinion, you shouldn't. For reasons mentioned above.** Winter storms, spring flooding happen every year. Maintaining that trail will be very expensive on an escalating scale. We support maintaining the trails. **Restore the trail at the top of the coulee, if you have to have a trail in this area.** You'll have for forces of water to deal with, but not slope and not gravity. We're also ok with not having a trail in that area, like it's been for most of Foxhall's history.

1. **I reject building a solid year-round structure in Park 1.** There is a large drainage problem. People shush across it during the winter and spring and at least one adjacent homeowner is using water pumps now. While a shelter would be nice, the issue is whether it is advisable considering the potential damage to the park's fir trees, adjacent housing, and even the erosion and collapse of the entire roadway at the intersection. The Board was requested to get a professional assessment of the saturation level at the park before proceeding. County permitting is no guarantee of anything. We need a full discussion on this matter. Is a portable shelter a suitable substitute? Will we eventually be considering a larger building for Foxhall meetings and other activities in Park 2? Do we want to build two complete solid permanent structures? We have alternatives.
2. **I reject a dues increase and decisions about the reserve fund until the reserve study prepared as required by RCW 64.90 is posted on the website or otherwise made available for review.** Members deserve the opportunity to review the basis of large financial decisions.

Thank you Board for discovering Foxhall's responsibility in replacing the community's large cement water tanks. Good find! I assume the lifetime remaining in our current water tanks is in the study. In any case, a sudden need for funds can always be handled by an assessment. No need to rush Reserve Fund decisions without community involvement.

3. General Comments. Foxhall has experienced extended periods without annual dues increases and continual trail or other projects. Foxhall is not a commercial homeowner's association. Association expenditures are directly related to additions and upkeep on Foxhall properties. Owners pay all the expenses for upkeep and additions to their house or lot.

Standard annual inflation rates are not a good measure to evaluate dues increases. Homeowner expenses will be increasing with the current rapid increase in house evaluations. This increase in home values is not associated with gains in salaries or pensions. The Board should be respectful of this situation.

I suggest a more austere Board management perspective in general. Especially with regard to trail projects. We have had too many trail projects. The last one never should have happened.

4. First Step. As a first corrective step, **the Canyon trail should be closed immediately.** It is used by very few residents. It has difficult and dangerous slopes for a family community. Its construction violated a host of watershed and environmental restrictions. Most important, the housing rimming the canyon is threatened by any erosion of the canyon wall.

5. Last. There are several issues yet to be resolved in Foxhall. **We need to get more residents involved and more honest communication from the Board. We need meetings in person and with facts and with two-way communication.** I would be glad to help develop a community survey to determine what is needed to get meetings attended.

I think there is no need for a dues increase because there should be no funds allocated for trails maintenance. The trails have had more than \$80K spent on them in only a few years. That should be enough. And this includes funds to the canyon. The canyon trail was to be opened at NO cost to the HOA. That is, NO cost to create it, as well as NO cost to maintain it. Please check the minutes. (Name withheld) promised it would be a grassy trail. She said there would be no gravel used in the canyon and she did not discuss easements* or carving into the sides of the canyon's steep slopes. Adding tons of gravel, as well as a bridge over the stream was not shared with the members. So no more HOA funds for the "grassy trail" through the canyon. There appears to be enough money left in the checking and savings accounts so close to the end of the fiscal year to replenish the reserve fund to 40k after deducting routine expenditures, inlet pipe expenditures and park structure donations. The \$7500 to replenish the reserve fund may also not be needed. The 36K brought in by the current dues will be enough to cover next year's costs (without further spending on the trails). If an unexpected large expenditure needs to be made, the board can do a special assessment. There was a large dues increase last year. Any dues increase should not happen without a clearly demonstrated need.*The easements to create the current trail through the canyon were obtained by the HOA without a membership vote required by the covenants. A legal opinion on easements was obtained by the board a few years ago when 3 board members were close to granting an easement to Washington Water Co. Attorney Hoss verified any change in the boundaries of property could only be made after approval of the membership. The easements in the canyon are not valid. Please don't spend money on a section of trail that is rarely used and not approved by the membership.

18 Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the draft FCA 2021-2022 Budget. While state law now provides that the Foxhall Board adopts the budget and apparently sets the dues, it is critical that in Foxhall, you first generate discussions with homeowners, since these processes differ from earlier HOA procedures and promises. Maybe some of the following are dumb questions, but we hope you will consider the Budget carefully before you adopt it and send it to the homeowners. Thank you for your consideration. In examining the draft budget, which indicates actual Total expenditures of \$18,313 as of July 2, we have trouble seeing a justification for a dues increase to \$330/year. We also particularly object to an inference the Board should increase dues yearly perhaps proportionate to the Consumer Price Index. We believe association dues must relate to anticipated HOA expenses, not to the algorithm of basic food, heat, and transportation costs (etc.) in the CPI calculations. We note a few specific questions about proposed budget allocations: 1) Previous budgets did include money for spraying Park 1 once a year. Apparently the \$1,000 is added because the allotted park maintenance money is inadequate for this expense, however the actual maintenance expenses through July 2 (three quarters of the Fiscal year) appear low. Please consider whether the Park 1 and 2 allotments really need to be increased in order to assure spraying. 2) We support the picnic shelter and appreciate the hard work being invested in it, but recognize that parts of that project could possibly be delayed a bit, for example until lumber costs drop. We just ask that you please consider the best appropriation. 3) We have several questions about the Reserve Fund and its \$7500 allocation for next year, however we are at a serious disadvantage since we've heard nothing of the outcome of the Reserve Study. We think many neighbors would like to learn more about what the Study recommends and how urgent the investments are. Our general understanding was always that the pond was likely our biggest risk area, but if the outlet and now inlet have been improved, has that reduced the potential risk to HOA members over the next 25 years? The routine costs of repairs to playground equipment, trail improvements, and fallen trees have been managed well in the last few years, thanks to many good people. What are the other investments needed or expected? When? Has the Board adopted the Reserve Plan or is it in discussion? Could it be posted on the website? Without additional explanation, it appears \$7500 is allocated to the Reserve Fund (and is possibly justifying the dues increase), without much explanation of how the amount was justified.

Any other comments about parks, trails or any other areas of the community where you would like to see changes or improvements?

19 I am so excited for the new shelter! I am in a wheelchair and right now when my children (ages 6 and 9) play at the park I sit on the side of the road because I have no access to the park. Thank you to everyone for their hard work and time. I appreciate all of you. And thank you again for making the park accessible to everyone.

20 We support construction of the picnic shelter, which has overwhelming community support through questionnaire feedback, volunteer contributions, and monetary donations. We support continued trail safety maintenance - the big projects are done now and cost is minimal. We support spraying the weeds in both parks because the dandelions choke out the grass. Mr. (name withheld from public view) donated weed spraying of park 2 this year and it worked great - need to keep it up.

21 I like keeping Park 2 (Foxtrail Park) natural and focusing on keeping built amenities in Park 1.

Nice job on the upgrades to the front entrance. It looks great!

Trails continue to be the best I've ever seen them. Thank you to the all the volunteers working with the Trails Committee.

22 Continued thanks to the trails committee for all their hard work!

23 We can't wait to explore all of the trails and meet everyone!

- 24 With younger families moving into the neighborhood it would be nice if someday we could add a children's play area in park 2. Huge thank you to all the volunteers that donate their time and energy to make Foxhall a beautiful community and a wonderful place to live!
- 25 The trails team deserves lots of credit for all their work to make the trails one of the best attributes of Foxhall! Thanks for all your hard work! We thoroughly enjoy our walks on these trails and it is particularly fun when our grandchildren come to visit and get to walk with us.
- 26 I think a wheelchair path in and/or around the park would be a great addition and make us more ADA friendly.
- 27 The trails are looking great! The dry trails are much appreciated by this walker.

Number of properties that